

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CC

2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL **CHAIRMAN** 3 JIM IRVIN **COMMISSIONER** 4 MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER 5 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. G-03703A-00-0283 BLACK MOUNTAIN GAS COMPANY, CAVE CREEK OPERATIONS, FOR A HEARING TO DECISION NO. 63545 DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES. OPINION AND ORDER 10 Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED February 8, 2001 11 DATE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 12 PLACE OF HEARING: MAR 3 0 2001 Marc E. Stern 13 PRESIDING OFFICER: DOCKETED BY 14 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C., by Ms. Theresa Dwyer, on behalf APPEARANCES: of the Black Mountain Gas Company; 15 Mr. Daniel W. Pozefsky, Staff Attorney, on behalf of the 16 Residential Utility Consumer Office; and 17 Mr. Devinti M. Williams, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on 18 behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 19 BY THE COMMISSION: 20 On April 28, 2000, Black Mountain Gas Company ("BMGC" or "Company"), Cave Creek 21 Operations, a division of Northern States Power Company ("NSP")1, filed with the Arizona 22 Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application to determine its earnings-for ratemaking 23 purposes, to fix a just and reasonable rate of return thereon and to approve rate schedules designed to 24 develop such return for its Cave Creek Operations. 25 26 27

28

1

Subsequent to the filing, BMGC became a subsidiary of NSP. A short time later, NSP merged with New Century Energies, Inc. and formed Xcel Energy, Inc. ("Xcel") which now owns BMCG as a subsidiary.

-22

On May 26, 2000, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") of the Commission filed a letter indicating that BMGC's rate application was sufficient and classifying the Company as a Class A utility.

On May 30, 2000, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed an application for intervention. There were no objections to the request to intervene.

On June 20, 2000, the Commission issued a Procedural Order for the rate case granting intervention to RUCO, setting forth the dates for the filing of testimony, and setting the hearing to commence on January 23, 2001.

On December 8, 2000, BMGC, RUCO and Staff filed what was captioned "Stipulation Between Parties to Extend Service". The parties stipulated that the following filing dates be moved and/or extended: (1) time to file rebuttal from December 20, 2000 to December 29, 2000; (2) time to file surrebuttal to January 18, 2001; (3) time to file rejoinder to January 25, 2001; and (4) date for hearing to be set from January 23, 2001 to January 28, 2001.

On December 11, 2000, the Commission, by Procedural Order, extended the filing dates and continued the hearing dates for the evidentiary portion of the hearing to January 31, February 1 and 2, 2001. However, since BMGC had previously provided public notice, January 23, 2001, was reserved for the taking of public comment.

On December 26, 2000, BMGC, RUCO and Staff requested another extension. The parties agreed that the filing/hearing dates be further moved and/or extended and that the date for an evidentiary hearing be reset from January 31, 2001 to February 12, 2001 or as soon thereafter as the matter could be heard. On January 4, 2001, by Procedural Order, the Commission extended the filing dates again and continued the hearing dates for the evidentiary portion of the hearing.

On January 5, 2001, BMGC, RUCO and Staff filed what was captioned "Stipulation Between Parties to Vacate Hearing". The parties agreed that the evidentiary hearing dates and deadlines for filing testimony should be vacated pending notification to the Administrative Law Judge of the need to reset the matter to take evidence on a formal Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") which had been reached in principle, and was in the process of being drafted.

On January 8, 2001, a Procedural Order was issued that vacated deadlines for the filing of further testimony and the hearing date for the evidentiary portion of the hearing, pending notification that the parties were prepared to go forward with a hearing on the merits for the approval of the proposed Agreement.

On January 9, 2001, BMGC, RUCO and Staff filed what was captioned "Notice of Filing Settlement Agreement" ("Notice"). The parties attached a copy of the Agreement to the Notice and requested that an evidentiary hearing be set to take evidence on the merits of the Agreement. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company shall be authorized a fair value rate base at December 31, 1999 for BMGC's Cave Creek Operation of \$11,011,553 and a 9.61 percent rate of return on that rate base, resulting in a total revenue requirement of \$5,901,501.00. All parties concurred with the Settlement Agreement.

On January 17, 2001, by Procedural Order, the Commission set February 8, 2001 for an evidentiary hearing to review the merits of the Agreement. On January 23, 2001, a Public Comment Hearing was held and no members of the public appeared to make public comment.

On February 8, 2001, a full public hearing was convened before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. The Company, RUCO and Staff appeared with counsel. Following the taking of testimony in support of the Agreement, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. BMGC, a Minnesota corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Xcel and provides public natural gas distribution in the vicinity of Cave Creek, Maricopa County, Arizona and underground public propane distribution in the vicinity of Page, Coconino County, Arizona.
- 2. On April 28, 2000, the Company filed with the Commission an application to determine its earnings for ratemaking purposes, to fix a just and reasonable rate of return thereon and to approve

8

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

-18 19

2021

2223

25

26

27

28

value rate base is \$11,011,553 for its Cave Creek Operations and the Company should be authorized

to earn a 9.61 percent rate of return on its fair value rate base.

12. Upon the Commission's approval of the Agreement, its overall effect will result in approximately a 3 percent rate increase (\$56.84 to \$58.72) for the Company's average Cave Creek

rate schedules designed to develop such return for its Cave Creek Operations which has approximately 6,500 primarily residential customers.

- 3. BMGC, in its Application, requested an overall increase in annual revenues for its Cave Creek Operations of approximately \$326,000 or a 6.6 percent increase over test year revenues.
- 4. On June 20, 2000, the Commission amended its initial Procedural Order and scheduled a hearing for January 23, 2001 and also established filing deadlines and public notice requirements.
- 5. Pursuant to the Commission's Procedural Order, public notice of the proceeding was provided by the Company.
- 6. On December 8, 2000, the parties to the above-captioned proceeding requested an extension of the filing dates in the proceeding and continuance of the hearing date due to ongoing settlement negotiations.
- 7. On December 11, 2000, by Procedural Order, the Commission granted the relief requested and continued the evidentiary portion of the January 23, 2001 hearing.
- 8. On January 9, 2001, the parties filed the Notice of Agreement in which the parties agreed that BMGC be authorized an overall base rate increase of 3.4 percent resulting in a total revenue requirement for the Company of \$5,901,510. The Agreement is marked Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 9. On January 17, 2001, the Commission scheduled an evidentiary hearing for February 8, 2001 on the merits of the Agreement.
- 10. On January 23, 2001, the Commission held a public comment session at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona. No members of the public appeared to make public comment.

11. As set forth in the Agreement, for the test year ending December 31, 1999, BMGC's fair

- l			
1	residential customer instead of the 7 percent increase (\$56.84 to \$60.83) originally sought by the		
2	Company.		
3	13. The following witnesses testified in favor of the Agreement: Mr. Dan L. Neidlinger for		
4	BMGC; Ms. Marylee Diaz Cortez for RUCO; and Ms. Crystal S. Brown for Staff.		
5	14. The Company, RUCO and Staff believe that the approval of the Agreement by the		
6	Commission is in the public interest.		
7	15. The recommendations by the above-referenced parties are reasonable, in the public		
8	interest and should be adopted.		
9	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
0			
1	1. The Company is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the		
2	Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250, 40-251 and 40-367.		
3	2. The Commission has jurisdiction over BMGC, its rate application and the Agreement.		
4	3. The Company has provided notice of its rate application in accordance with the law.		
5	4. The Agreement resolves all matters raised by BMGC's rate application in a manner that is		
6	just and reasonable and promotes the public interest, and should be approved.		
7	5. The fair value of BMGC's rate base for its Cave Creek Operations as of December 31,		
8	1999 was \$11,011,553 and a 9.61 percent rate of return on the Company's fair value rate base is		
9	reasonable.		
20	6. It is just and reasonable to authorize an overall rate increase as described above and a		
21	resulting total revenue requirement of \$5,901,510 for BMGC's Cave Creek Operations.		
22	7. The Company should file revised tariffs consistent with the Agreement attached hereto as		
23	Exhibit A.		
24	8. The rates, charges and conditions of service as authorized hereinafter are just and		
25	reasonable.		
26	<u>ORDER</u>		
27	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement		
28	attached hereto as Exhibit A filed on January 9, 2001, are hereby adopted and approved.		

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Black Mountain Gas Company shall file revised tariffs that incorporate the rates, charges and conditions of service consistent with the Settlement Agreement and 3 the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law hereinabove. 4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rates and charged approved herein shall be effective for 5 all service on and after April 1, 2001. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Black Mountain Gas Company shall provide notice of the 7 rate increase authorized herein to its Cave Creek customers in its next regular monthly billing. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Black Mountain Gas Company shall file, within 15 days of 9 its notification to its Cave Creek customers, with the Director of the Commission's Utilities Division, 10 a copy of its notice to its customers. 11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 12 13 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. -14 15 16 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive 18 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 19 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix. day of March 2001. 20 21 IAN C. McNEIL 22 EXECUTIVE SECRÉTARY 23 DISSENT 24 MES:mli 25 26 27

28

GAS COMPANY
<u>-</u> -
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- -
the project of the second seco

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The undersigned Parties stipulate and agree to the following settlement provisions in connection with the rate application submitted by Northern States Power Company ("NSP"), a Minnesota corporation, and Black Mountain Gas Company ("BMG" or "Company") before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for BMG's Cave Creek Division, specifically: In The Matter Of The Application Of Northern States Power Company, A Minnesota Corporation, And Black Mountain Gas, A Subsidiary Of Northern States Power Company, A Minnesota Corporation, To Determine Earnings For Ratemaking Purposes, To Fix A Just And Reasonable Rate Of Return Thereon And To Approve Rate Schedules Designed To Develop Such Return For The Cave Creek Division, Docket No. G-03703A-00-0283. The test year for the filing was the calendar year ended December 31, 1999. At the time this filing was made with the Commission on April 28, 2000, BMG was a division of NSP. Subsequent to the filing, BMG became a subsidiary of NSP. Shortly thereafter, NSP merged with New Century Energies, Inc. and formed Xcel Energy, Inc. ("Xcel"). BMG is currently a subsidiary of Xcel.

1. Parties to the Agreement.

Parties to this Agreement include the Commission Staff ("Staff"), Xcel, BMG, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO").

2. Statement of Intention and Admissions.

The purpose of this Agreement is to resolve contested matters in a manner consistent with the public interest. Nothing contained in this Agreement is an admission by any Party that any of the positions taken, or that might be taken by each in formal proceedings, is unreasonable. In addition, acceptance of the Agreement by any of the Parties is without prejudice to any position taken by any Party in these proceedings.

EXHIBIT A

3. Fair Value Rate Base, Fair Rate of Return and Revenue Requirement.

The Parties agree that the fair value of rate base at December 31, 1999 for BMG's Cave Creek Division is \$11,011,553 and that 9.61% is a fair rate of return on this rate base. The Parties further agree to a total revenue requirement for the Cave Creek Division of \$5,901,510.

4. Revised Rates and Charges.

The Parties adopt the revised rates and charges for purposes of this Agreement as provided in the attached and incorporated Appendix A.

5. Revised Base Cost of Purchased Gas.

The Parties agree that the cost of purchased gas included in the revised rates is \$0.42 per therm. This represents a \$0.15 per therm increase over the current base cost of \$0.27.

6. Commission Action.

Each provision of this Agreement is in consideration and support of all other provisions, and expressly conditioned upon acceptance by the Commission without material change. In the event that the Commission fails to adopt this Agreement according to its terms by March 31, ~ 2001, this Agreement shall be considered withdrawn and the Parties shall be free to pursue their respective positions in these proceedings without prejudice.

7. Limitations.

The terms and provisions of this Agreement apply solely to and are binding only in the context of the provisions and results of this Agreement and none of the positions taken herein by any of the Parties may be referred to, cited or relied upon by any other Party in any fashion as precedent or otherwise in any proceeding before this Commission or any other regulatory agency or before any court of law for any purpose except in furtherance of the purposes and results of this Agreement.

Proposed Order. 8.

A proposed form of order acceptable to all the Parties will be prepared and filed by the Company within ten (10) business days of the latest date on which this Agreement is signed by the Parties. The Company will provide a draft of the proposed form of order to the other Parties at least five (5) business days prior to filing the proposed form of order with the Commission for the purpose of receiving comments on the draft.

DATED this 5th day of January, 2001.

(Signatures contained on the following pages)

BLACK MOUNTAIN GAS COMPANY

By: Jam HAll llen

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF

By: Barbara Wytaske

RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMERS OFFICE

By:_`

APPENDIX A

BLACK MOUNTAIN GAS COMPANY CAVE CREEK DIVISION Docket No. G-03703A-00-0283

REVISED RATES & CHARGES

Description	Rate
Residential:	
Standard Rate:	#C 00
Monthly Service Charge	\$6.00
Commodity Rate Per Therm	\$1.04357
Gas Air Conditioning:	
Monthly Service Charge	\$6.00
Commodity Rate Per Therm	\$0.51000
Compressed Natural Gas:	
Monthly Service Charge	\$6.00
Commodity Rate Per Therm	\$0.55000
Commercial:	
Standard Rate:	
Monthly Service Charge	\$15.00
Commodity Rate Per Therm	\$1.04357
Resort:	
Monthly Service Charge	\$30.00
Commodity Rate Per Therm	\$1.04357
Co-Gen:	
Monthly Service Charge	\$30.00
Commodity Rate Per Therm	\$0.48000
Service Charges:	
Establishment of Service	\$20.00
Re-Establishment of Service	(1)
Re-Connection of Service—Regular Hours	\$30.00
Re-Connection of Service—After Hours	\$45.00
Service Calls Per Hour—Regular Hours	\$30.00
Service Calls Per Hour—After Hours	\$45.00
Meter Re-Read Charge—If Correct	\$25.00
Meter Test Fee—Per Hour—If Correct	\$25.00
NSF Check	\$15.00
Late Charge—Per Month	1.5%
Security Deposit—Residential	(2)
Security Deposit—Commercial	(3)
Deferred Payment—Per Month	1.5%

DECISION NO. 63545

Notes:

- Number of Months Off System Times Monthly Minimum Charge [A.C.C. R14-2-403(B)] (1)
- (2)
- Two (2) Times the Average Monthly Bill [A.C.C. R14-2-403(B)]
 Two and One-Half (2 ½) Times the Average Monthly Bill [A.C.C. R14-2-403(B)] (3)

PHX/1138520.1/70232:010 12/27/00 3:55 PM